FOR MYSORE STATE

Rev (1950) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. NO. 367

Dated 9-2-'50

Sup
2
6 14/3/50

[Despatch Abstract.]

Irrigation - (Bellary) - Bellary Dk - Kalagatti Vge - Tank - Repairs and Improvements - Orders passed -

Revenue Departme

Copy of letter from R.Suryanarayana Rao Mylapore
24th
to ".M.(P.W.) dated/February 1947.

From a study of the note prepared by Fredrick relating to irrigation schemes in Bellary district, I find the Government have ordered the investigation of the following:-

(1) Bairavanthippa Project - Rayadrug taluk
(2) Hagaribommanahalli project - Kudligi and Hadagalli taluk
(3) Chintalapalli project - Hadagalli taluk
(4) Benakal Tank project - Kudligi taluk

The investigation may be kindly expedited.

2. The following projects also can as well be investigated and taken up if the question of return is waived:

(1) Nellikudri project - Kudligi taluk
(2) Anisekeri project - Harapanahalli taluk
(3) Gandabommanahalli project - Kudligi taluk
(4) Urdahalli project - Kudligi taluk
(5) Krishnareddi tank - Rayadrug taluk
(6) Madirikatta tank restoration - Harapanahalli taluk
(7) Kanchamalanahalli tank - Kudligi taluk
(8) Hattibellagal tank - Alur taluk

I find all these can be taken up under "Grow More Food" works. If the Projects Division at Anantapur is strengthened by the addition of more Sub-divisions, investigations can be accelerated and the works can even be undertake, and finished within the target period set by the Government of India. Time is opportune now to complete these useful works I request you to take immediate action and order investigation and preparation of rough estimates to enable the Government to sanction them. I have used the word 'rough' advisedly.

3. The Revenue Department may be asked to undertake repairs to Kolagallu tank in Bellary taluk. The correspondence in respect of Alipuram tank in Bella taluk with the Jail authorities may be expedited in order to utilize the water in the tank for irrigati purposes.

is may be looked to. The remarks the C.E(I) may be called for
M.B
25.2.47

Irrigation - (Bellary) - Bellary taluk - Kolagallu tank - Repairs - Alipuram tank - Correspondence with the jail authorities

From Sri R. Suryanarayana Rao, M.L.C., ~~D.O.~~ letter dated 24.2.47 to the Hon'ble Minister for Public Works

Endt. No. 1870 H/47-1 dated

An extract of para 3 of the ~~D.O.~~ letter quoted above is forwarded to the Collector of Bellary for a very early report through the Board of Revenue.

Pm 29.3.47

Sd/- 31.3.47.

Sd/- 1.4.47

Sd/- 18/4

Collr of Bellary to report by 15/5

Pm 16.4.47

F/C.F.

Issue W.T. and call for return

Collr of Bellary to the Bd of Revenue, that an extract of para 3 of F/C.F.

BOARD OF REVENUE (FOOD PRODUCTION)
Subject.

- .linor - (Bellary) - Bellary Taluk - Kolagallu village
gallu tank - Proposals - Submitted.

-1 (F.P.II). Dated 23-9-49.

C.K.VIJAYARAGHAVAN Esq.,I.C.S., 58056
Commissioner of Food Production.

N.S.MANI Esq.,I.C.S.,
Secretary to the Commissioner of Food Production.

Government Revenue Department Engt.No.1870 H/47 dated 16-4-47.
Ref.G.2477/47-1 dated 30-5-47.
Chief Engineer (Irrigation)Madras No.56/48 R.6. dated 9-8-49

ed to the Government

ard observes that the tank is to serve a famine-stricken Class-A for which under the existing orders, financial erion and that it is an ancient work which must have se when it was well maintained. It therefore recommends me to restore the tank may be sanctioned.

ollector is being requested to scrutinise the statement ecast prepared by the Public Works Department and to s thereon. A further report will be submitted soon tor's report is received. The Board requests that the scheme need not be held up pending the receipt of the ri

for Secretary.

to Government, Revenue Department, Madras. (with a sketch map) with encls paras 1 to 3 only)
etary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras. (paras 1 to 3 only)
of Bellary. (full)

Copy of Chief Engineer for Irrigation's letter No.56/48-R.6 dated 9-8-49.

Sub:- Irrigation -Minor -Bellary District and Taluk - Kolagallu village tank - repairs - estimate for Rs.25,000/-

...

Ref:-Board's Ref.G.2477/47-4 dated 3-9-47, chief Engineer Irrigation's D.O. to secretary, ~~to the~~ Board of Revenue No.56/48-R.4 dated 17-3-49.

I forward herewith an estimate amounting to Rs.25,000/-for works or Rs.32,530/-including direct and indirect ~~and~~ charges for the above work. The sketch plan and report accompanying the estimate, explain the proposals.

2. The tank in question lying in the lower ~~xxx~~ Vedavati Minor Basin, was not estimated for being a cattle pond, during investigation by the T.R.S. party. It is reported that the supply channels of the tank were repaired some 30 years ago and the portion of bund between the two surplus weirs has been raised and gravelled under famine labour in 1943. The famine camps having been closed down, it was proposed to have the balance of work done by regular P.W.D. division under the Grow More Food Scheme-- vide ceded districts Economic Development Board's Ref.No.616/43-3 dated 3-12-43. The estimate now furnished is for finishing the following items of work to make the tank fit for use as an irrigation work:-

i) Repairing the anicut across chatram Vanka at the head of supply channel.
ii) Removing the silt and strengthening the bund of the supply channel, constructing a new head sluice at the head of supply channel to regulate the supply ~~weirs of~~ the tank
iii) Repairing and improving the two surplus weirs of the tank
iv) Repairing and providing new plugs and approach platforms to the two existing sluices and
v) fixing the ayacut and laying suitable field channels.

3. The Scheme provides for an irrigation of about 100 acres to begin with, with possibilities of increasing the ayacut later on, if greater supplies are available.

4. The return anticipated is 1.6% on works outlay, which is based on a water rate of Rs.5/- per acre in the absence of details furnished by the Collector or the Superintending Engineer. The scheme is entitled to Government of India subsidy which works out to Rs.11,260/-. If this is taken into consideration, the return on the provincial Government's share of cost works out to 2.9% on works outlay of 1,88% on the total including ~~it~~ direct and indirect charges as per statement of financial forecast enclosed. The Collector is being requested to verify the financial forecast prepared in this office and send it on direct to Govt. through the Board, with copy ~~of~~ to this office.

5. This lies in "A" class area, for which return is no criterion. The Collector of Bellary recommends the scheme for sanction of Govt. in view of its Grow More Food value. For the above reasons, I request that the estimate may be sanctioned administratively, at an early date, as it lies in a famine strikken area deserving every consideration.

6. The tank after restoration, ~~is~~ to be kept under the control of the Revenue Dept.as a minor irrigation scheme.

7. The work is chargeable to the head "18-0. R.E.Financed from Ordinary Revenue) a ~~+~~ Works -Major Works".

for Chief Engineer (I).

(P.T.O.)

Restoration of Kolagallu tank - General Abstract.

S.No.	Quantity	Description of works.	Rate	Per	Amount.
1.		Repairs and providing nose to anicut of Chatram Vanka.			3000
2.		Constructing a head sluice at 0-0-260 of supply channel.			2550
3.		Raising the crest of surplus at left flank			3600
4.		Raising the crest of surplus of kolagallu tank right flank.			1300
5.		Reconstructing sluice No.2 at Kolagallu tank.			2600
6.		Strengthening banks of supply channel forming banks below Railway bridge and strengthening tank bund from 0 to 1189 of L.S.			5500
7.		Repairs to sluice No.1 and forming approaches to the same.	L.S.		500
8.		Repairs to gullies on tank bund including gravelling top 9' -0 X 0-4"	L.S.		2500
9.		Unforeseen works.	L.S.		950
10.		Laying suitable field channels and for changes in design etc.	L.S.		2500
					25000

Sd/xxx

/True copy

VEDAVATI MINOR BASIN. Bellary District,
M.I.Tank now P.W.D. tank.
Latitude 76° 52'
Longitude 15° 12'.

REPORT.

Situation and source of supply. This tank is situated just west of Kolagallu village in the Bellary ~~district~~ taluk. It receives the drainage from its free basin besides the surplus of one Upper tank and surpluses into Chatram Vanka ~~at~~ both the flanks. It also receives its supply from Chatram Vanka through a supply channel taking off above the anicut just below the railway bridge. The crest of the anicut is 50.00 . The supply channel is proposed to be cleaned and banks strengthened.

Surplus Arrangements. The tank surpluses over a byewash 102 feet long at the left flank with crest at 45.90 and also over another byewash masonary 92 feet long at the right flank with crest at 46.00 . These two surplus escapes are proposed to be converted into narrow crested weirs with crest at 47.00 as per plans enclosed to increase the capacity of the tank. The rear aprons of the two surplus weirs will be grouted and strengthened.

Bund. The bund from the left of the existing weir at the left flank for a total length of 1189 feet will be made up 3 feet above M.W.L. with top width 5 feet. The slopes will be 1½ to 1 in front and 2 to 1 in rear. The portion of the bund between the two surplus weirs has already been raised to 52.5 under famine with a top width of 15' -0" and gravelled. The banks of the supply channel will be strengthened and flood banks also will be raised on either side of vanka between railway bridge and anicut to protect spill.

(P.T.O)

Vegetation. There is no vegetation on the bund.

Revetment. The front slope of the bund is revetted throughout the length and it is in fair condition.

Sluice. There are three sluices at present for the tank Sluice No.1 from left flank will be improved. Sluice No.2 which is blocked up will be dismantled and new one constructed. The slice No.3 which will be of no use and being at a higher level will be closed. There was no irrigation under the tank previously and it is proposed to restore it and bring ayacut for cultivation.

Full Tank level. F.T.L has been fixed at 47.00 with reference to the average level.

General remarks. The M.F.L. observed at therailway bridge across chatram vanka in the 1938 which is 55.65 taken for designing the head sluice of supply channel and flood banks on either side of the banks up to anicut

STANDARD TO BE MAINTAINED.

F.T.L.	47.00	Top width	
M.W.L.	48.50'	0 to 1189-5' and	
Top of bund between weirs 0 to 1189.	52.50'	1189 to end	5 ft.
	51.50	Side alopes 1½	& 2 to 1
		Height of revetment above F.T.L.	1 to 3 ft.

BENCH MARKS.

I.B.M. Top of crest anicut across chatram vanka 50'0' assumed
II.B.M.
Datum - Mean sea level.

MAXIMUM DISCHARGE TO BE PROVIDED FOR.

Co-efficients C=350;	c= 70
Area of free basin.	1.9 sq.miles.
No.of tanks above.	1
Combined catchment area	7.8 sq.miles.
Maxm. discharge from do.	1147 cusecs.
do. do. from channel.	Nil.
Total discharge to be provided for	1147 cusecs.

SURPLUS ARRANGEMENTS.

Effective length of masonry escapes	194' (102 & 92)
Proposed additional length of do	Nil
Discharge over total length	1187 cusecs.
Effective length of byrewashes	Nil ft.
Proposed additional length of byewashes	Nil ft.
Discharge over total byewashes.	Nil cusecs.
Total maximum discharge provided for	1187 cusecs.

DETAILS OF STORAGE.

Area water spread at F.T.L.	12.56 M.Sq.ft.
Maximum width of water spread at F.T.L.	3000 ft.
Capacity of tank at F.T.L.	35.89 M.cft.
Total No. of fillings.	1
Total annual storage.	35.89 M cft.
Capacity of tanks above.	

DETAILS OF IRRIGATION.

Total irrigable ayacut. 120 acres. (but now limited to 100 acs. only to begin with).

Average cultivation.
Proposed ultimate area.
Water stored per acre. 0.2.M.C.Ft.

LEVELS OF SLUICES.

Sill of sluice No.1 from the left flank 42.0**
do No.2 do proposed. 41.5
do 3 do ~~41.5~~
do 4 do ..

** Not possible to find out correctly due to water in the tank stones and the mud having been filled up in the vent.

Sd/- M. RAMAMOHANA Rao, Supervisor.
/True copy /

Sd/. A. RAMANAIAH 11-2-49
~~M. Ramamohana Rao,~~ ~~Supervisor.~~
Executive Engineer.
Bellary.

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL DETAILS TO ACCOMPANY THE ESTIMATE FOR Rs. 25,000 FOR WORKS FOR RESTORING THE KOLAGALLU TANK IN BELLARY TALUK OF BELLARY DISTRICT.

Amount of Estimate Rs.25,000 (works outlay.)
Taking assessment at Rs.5 per acre (in the absence of details from the Collector or Superintending Engineer), the r turn on revenue will be :

Revenue	100 X Rs.5	Rs.500
Deduct:-		
(i) Maintenance charges at 0-12-0 per acre 3/4 X 100		---75
(ii) Collection charges at 5% of assessment $\frac{5 \times 500}{100}$		25
Therefore total deduction.		=100

Therefore net revenue Rs.500 — 100 = 400
Therefore return on works cost $\frac{400 \times 100}{25000}$ = 1.6%

Subsidy.

Cost of scheme works outlay	Rs.25,000/-
Tools and plant at 2% of works.	500/-
Audit and accounts at 1%	250/-
Gross outlay.	25,750/-

Net outlay = Gross outlay minus 20 times gross revenue
25750 - 20 X 500 = Rs.15750/-

50% of net outlay. Rs. $\frac{15750}{2}$ = Rs.7875. (1)

Establishment charges.

25% of works. $\frac{25 \times 25000}{100}$ = Rs.6250

Leave and pensionary charges at 8.43 % on establishment $\frac{8.43}{100}$ X 6250 527

(P.T.O.)

50% of Establishment charges. $\frac{6777}{2}$ = Rs. 3389

Total subsidy - Rs. 7875 plus 3389 Rs.11264 or say Rs.11260/-

(As per the latest Government Memo.No.291 N/46-37 d/20-4-48, only 50% of the net outlay is to be taken in to account irrespective of the value of additional food grains.)

Therefore net provincial Govt. share of works. Rs.25000 - 11260
=Rs.13740/-

Therefore return = $\frac{400 \times 100}{13740}$ = 2.91 % or say 2.9%

Total cost of works including direct and indirect charges Rs.32530/-
Return on total cost taking subsidy into account $\frac{400 \times 100}{21270}$ -1.88%

/ True copy /

N.N.23.9.

For Secretary

PART II SCHEMES - 1950-51

Head of account: 18 O.R.E. Financed from ordinary Revenues A works Major works.

Item of expenditure. Bellary district and taluk - Kollagallu village tank - Repairs.

Estimated cost. Rs.25,000/- for works Rs.32,530/- total.

Programme. --- 1950-1951

Grant for 1950-51 --- 25,000

The tank in question lying in the lower Vedavati minor Basin, was not estimated for being a cattle pond, during investigation by the T.R.S. party. It is reported that the supply channels of the tank were repaired some 30 years ago and the portion of bund between the two surplus weirs has been raised and gravelled under famine labour in 1943. The famine camps having been closed down, it was proposed to have the balance of work done by regular P.W.D. Division under the Grow More Food scheme - vide ceded Districts, Economic Development Board's Ref. No.616/43-3 dated 3-12-43. For finishing the balance of work to make the tank fit for use as an irrigation work, proposals have been sent to Government through the Board in Chief Engineer (Irrigation)'s No.56/48R-6 dated 9-8-49.

2. The scheme provides for an irrigation of about 100 acres to begin with, with the possibilities of increasing the ayacut later on, if greater supplies are available.

3. The cost of the scheme is Rs.25,000/- for works or Rs.32,530/- total. The return anticipated is 1.6% on works outlay, based on a water rate of Rs.5/- per acre. This lies in 'A' class area, for which return is no criterion. As the scheme lies in a famine stricken area deserving every consideration, this is recommended as one Part II Schemes for 1950-51.

Office of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, Madras.
Endorsement No.5744/49D-5 dated 14th November (49.

Submitted to Government, for consideration as Part II scheme for 1950-51.

17/11/49

For Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

To the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

16.11.49

SR:15/11

P.W.D.(F)

Rec. wo in continuation of P.W. wo No. 3587-B/49-1 dated 3.9.49

21.11.49

22/11/49.

Vo.4957-B/49-1
22.11.49.

Revenue Department

NOTE FOR THE CABINET COMMITTEE FOR FOOD PRODUCTION

***

Irrigation - (Bellary) - Bellary Taluk - Kolagallu village - Kolagallu tank - Repairs and improvements Sanction.

***

This note relates to a proposal for the execution of repairs and improvements to a cattle pond in Kolagallu village, Bellary Taluk and District with a view to convert it into a Minor Irrigation source and transfer it to the control of the Revenue Department. The Scheme, if executed, is expected to provide irrigation to about 100 acres to begin with, with possibilities of increasing the ayacut later on, if greater supplies are available. The estimated cost of the scheme is Rs.32,530/-, including direct and indirect charges. The gross return on the scheme on the basis of a water rate of Rs.5/- per acre works out to about 1.5% and the net return works out to about 1.2%

2. The Collector of Bellary, the C.E.(P.W.D.) and the Board of Revenue (F.P.) have recommended the execution of the scheme as a Grow More Food measure especially as the scheme lies in a famine stricken area. The scheme has been examined in consultation with the Public Works and Finance Departments and they support the Scheme. It is proposed to get the work executed by the Public Works Department under the general Tank Improvement Scheme. Orders of the Cabinet Committee are requested ~~on the proposal~~ for the Sanction of the Scheme and for its execution by the P.W.D.

SM
28/12/49

KR
28.12.49

To H.M.(L.R.),
H.M.(P.W.),
H.M.(Agri.),
H.M.(Food),
H.M.(Fin.),
H.Pr.
Food and Agri. -6 copies

30 spare copies.

(Sent by DS)

11 Rev dep

meeting

Extract from the Proceedings of the
of the Cabinet committee held
on 16-1-50.

x x x

Item (4) of the agenda.

Irrigation- Bellary Taluk
Kolagallu village tank-
Repairs and improvements.

Approved

(True extract)

19/1/50.

Board of Revenue (Food Production)
Subject.

Irrigation - Minor - (Bellary) - Bellary Taluk - Kolagallu village - Repairs to Kolagallu tank - Proposals - Submitted.

B.P. (Rout.) No.18/50.(F.P.), Dated 7th January, 1950.

From

Sri V.S. Hejmadi, I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Food Production.

Sri N.S. Mani, I.C.S.,
Secretary to the Commissioner of Food Production.

Read; (1) Board's Ref:No.3535/49-1.(F.P.II), dated 23-9-1949.
(2) From the Collector of Bellary, D.Dis.11306/49, dated 9-12-1949.

Submitted to the Government in continuation of the Board's Reference cited above.

for Secretary.

To The Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Fort St. George, Madras.

VR/10-1-50.

Copy of letter D.Disc.No.11306/49, dated 9-12-1949, from the Collector of Bellary, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras.

Sub: Irrigation - Minor - Bellary Taluq - Kolagal village - Tank-repairs estimate for Rs.25,000 verification of financial forecast - Report - Submitted.

Ref: Board's Ref:No.3585/49-1.F.P.II, dated 23-9-1949, and 8-11-1949.

In the financial forecast prepared by the Chief Engineer for irrigation Madras, Rs.5/- is adopted as the rate of wet assessment per acre after the tank is restored. The wet assessment on similar lands in the adjoining villages is Rs.5-1-0 per acre and the ayacutdars under the tank are reported to be willing to pay this rate after the tank is restored. Even if Rs.5-1-0 were to be adopted in the financial forecast as the wet assessment per acre, there will be no difference in the percentage of return as worked out by the Chief Engineer. I therefore submit that the financial forecast is correct and that it requires no modification.

Revenue Department

P1 is Dm at encl 2 in Rev 9/2

P2 cy
P3-8 cy
P9 cy
P12 cy

Government Endt. No.1870 H/47-1 Rev. dated 16.4.47,
From the Bd. of Rev.(F.P.), Refce.No.3585/49-1, (F.P.II) dated 23.9.49,
From the C.E.(P W.D.), Endt. No.5744/49-D-5 dated 14.11.49.
From the Board of Revenue (F.P.), Proceedings (Rt) No.18/50(F.P.) dated 7.1.50.

***

G.O.Ms.No. 367 dated 9/2/50

The Government sanction the estimate for Rs.25000 (Rupees twenty five thousand only) for works or Rs.32,530/- (Rupees thirty two thousand and five hundred and thirty only) including direct and indirect charges, for the execution of repairs and improvements under the Tank Improvement Scheme, to the tank in Kolagallu village ~~previously used as a cattle pond~~, Bellary taluk and district. The work should be executed by the Public Works Dept. and the tank after completion of repairs and improvements shall be transferred to the control of the Revenue dept. for maintenance as a Minor Irrigation source.

2. The expenditure should be debited to the appropriate budget head under 'Tank Improvement Schemes to be newly opened under "18 Irrigation Other Revenue Expenditure financed from ordinary revenues"

SM
24/1/52

By
24/1/52

Kg
24.1.52

To the Bd. of Rev.(F. .)
(with plans and estimates retd.)
To the C.L.(P.W.D.),
To the Collr. of Bellary,
To the A.G. thro' Fi
To the P.W.Dept.
To the Fin. Dept.

Kg
28.2.52.

Rev. Dept

Supplementary Statement of expenditure

Grant VIII - Irrigation - Estimate of the amount required for further expenditure.

	Rs
Voted	100/-
Total of Sums charged	Nil.

Summary

Major head	Minor head	Sub-heads of appropriation	Estimated amount of further expenditure	
			Charged Rs	Voted Rs
18 Irrigation - Other Revenue expenditure financed from ordinary revenues	Minor Irrigation Rev. Department - Q - Works - Voted	D. Tank Restoration and Improvements to tanks, channels springs ponds etc.		

Explanatory Note -

In February, 1950, the Govt. sanctioned an estimate for Rs 32,530/- including direct and indirect charges for executing under the Tank Improvement Scheme, repairs and improvements to the tank in Kolagalla Vge, Bellary taluk and district. It has been reported that the repairs to the tank will be provided for irrigation of about 100 acres of land to begin with, with possibilities of increasing the ayacut later on, if greater supplies are available. As the gross expenditure exceeds Rs 30,000/- the Scheme has to be treated as a 'New Service'. token Sum of Rs 100/- is therefore, included in the Supplementary estimate. The balance of the expenditure, in the Current year, will be met from the allotment already made in

the budget for the Tank Improvement Scheme

11.2.50

13-2-50.

13/2/50

District : Cuddapah (51) Village { No 49/1
Taluk = Proddutur Name = Kamar

Rough sketch for 311 – 312 · S. Nombers.

S. No. 311 Area 1-68 acres
S. No. 312A " " 67-70 acres



K. Mallaiah
K. M.



Work outlay Rs 25,000/-

Staff charges at 25% of the work outlay Rs 6,250/-

Leave & Pensionary charges at 8.43% of Staff charges. Rs 527/-

Tools and plant at 2% of works Rs 500/-

Audit and accounts at 1% of work Rs 250/-

Total 32,527

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS.

Fort St. George, dated 16 -5- 1950.

Enclosures :

FROM

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
MADRAS.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Revenue Department,
Madras-9.

SIR,

Sub: Irrigation - Bellary District - Bellary Taluk - Kolagam village - Tank - repairs and improvements-orders.

Ref: G.O.Ms.367 dated 9-2-50.

In the G.O. cited above, the proposals for repairs and improvements to the Kolagallu Tank have been approved for execution by the Public Works Department, the expenditure being charged to the appropriate budget head under Tank improvement schemes under "18 other Revenue expenditure". No expenditure has been incurred on this work during 49-50.

2. In this connection, attention is invited to this office W.WM.5-1/49-50/644 dated 23-3--50 regarding the classification of expenditure on such works (copy enclosed for ready reference). It may kindly be examined whether the classification of the expenditure on the work already ordered will not require any revision with reference to the orders to be passed on this office reference cited above.

3. Copies of the references from the Board of Revenue, Chief Engineer etc. read in the Government orders may also be sent to this office for reference if

A there is no objection.

Assistant AccountsOfficer.

vvs 10/9/50

Copy of No.WM.3-1/4950/644, from the Accountant General, Madras to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Food & Agriculture.

Sub: Food production organisation - Accounting of establishment charges and works expenditure.

In continuation of this office endorsement No. M.3-1-113 dated 16-11-49, and with reference to the Government memo No.56327 L/49-2 (Food and Agriculture) dated 23-12-49, on the subject indicated above, I have to forward herewith a copy of the U.O. letter I.71-AC/130-49 dated 4-2-50 received from the Comptroller and Auditor General of India, The Comptroller and Auditor General considers that it is more appropriate to account for thetransactions connected with the Food Production schemes under "40. Agriculture" or "25. General administration", instead of under "63. extraordinary charges". He has however, agreed to the extension of the Public Works system of accounts and to the opening of the new minor heads "Food Production Remittances" and "Food Production Deposits".

2. In G.O.No.Ms.3694(Development) dated 11-7-49 the expenditure connected with the Food Production schemes was ordered to be classified under "63 extraordinary charges". But is is observed that provision for the works expenditure of the Tank Restoration scheme has been made under "18 O.H.E - minor irrigation - Revenue Department" in 1949-50 and under 68 Construction of Irrigation etc. works" in 1950-51. Provision for the establishment charges connected therewith has however been made under "63 Extraordinary charges" in both the years. The changes in classification adopted by the Government for the accounting of the expenditure have not been so far communicated to this office. The intention ofthe Government in effecting the above changes in the classification may please be elucidated.

p.t.o.

3. In this connection, it is pointed out that an expenditure of Rs.49;42 lakhs on special accelerated and widespread progrmme of improvements of minor irrigation works to end of 1947-48 was classified only under 68 construction of irrigation etc. works" and this amount included the expenditure on the establishment also. It would be appropriate to classify with the works expenditure and the establishment charges under the same major head.

Copy forwarded to the Comptroller and Auditor General for information.

VVS

True Copy
E C Nelavalah
Superintendent VI
15/3/50

Memo i/4707/'50 Fin(FO)II d. 24.11.50

Sub:- Irr. T.I.S. Bellary Dt., Bellary TK - Kollagalu rge Tank - Repairs and improvements. Expenditure - Head of Account Amendment to G.O. issue

Read:- From the A.G. Lr. No. W.F.P. no/4 d. 16.5.50.

~~Pln following amendment to Issued to G.O. No. 367 Rev. d. 9.2.50.~~

~~For para 2 of the G.O.~~

~~Read~~ "68 Construction of irrigation works, unproductive

2) ~~A copy of the A.G.'s ltr~~

In partial modification of the orders conveyed in G.O. No. 367 Rev. dated 9.2.50, the Govt. direct that the expenditure on the scheme during the current year viz 1950-51 be debited to

Fin(FO)II
2 DEC 1950

~~Communicated to~~ The C.E. (I)
is requested to send his proposals for the reappropriation of funds along with the proposals for final modification of funds.
Jan. 1951.

27.11.50 27/11/50 28/11/50

ppt-h cf.

To
The C.E. (I) (with enc.)
The Addl. Secy. Fin. Dept.
The Fin. Deptt.
The P.W.D. Deptt.
for one only

Revenue Department

Extract from ~~Copy of~~ P.W.D. C. No. 936 D/47

Irrigation - Bellary - Certain Minor projects.

From Sri R.Suryanarayana Rao M.L.C. D.O. letter dated 24-2-47, to H.M.(P.W.)

Secy P.W. This may be looked into. The remarks of the C.E.(I) may be called for.

(Sd.) M.B. 25-2-47

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
20 MAR 1947
1870 H
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

To A.S.

Please see the letter in the C.F. In regard to the schemes mentioned at A on p.1.c.f. Mr.R.S.Rao wa wants that the investigations of those schemes may be expedited.

1. Bairavanthianna Project: Though [illegible] staff for its detailed investigation was already sanctioned, the reply of the Mysore Government permitti the Madras P.W.D? staff to enter their territory for conducting the levelling and surveying operations, was received only last month and it has been communicated to the C.E.(I). The results of the investigation are awaited and a C.B. entry has been made against 1-8-47

2. Hagari Pommanahalli

3. Chintajapalli and

4. Benakal Tank In regard to these projects, a preliminary investigation has been ordered in G.O.3017 P.W. dated 18-11-1946 and the results of the investigation are awaited. A.C.B. entry has been made against 15-4-47.

2. Mr. R.S. Rao has listed at 'B' on page 1 c.f. eight schemes, stating that they may be taken up under C.M.F. and suggests that the investigation ~~are away~~ can be accelerated if more sub-Divisions are added. The schemes mentioned by him are examined below:

(1) Nellikudiri Project. The Scheme was abando in 1908 as the reservoir would submerge six villagers and rich cultivated lands to the extent of 5,000 acres. The C.E.(I) has reported in October 1946 that this project is not worth re-examination.

(2) Agisekeri Project The scheme abandoned 1932 on account of engineering difficulties and also on financial grounds. It is reported that the scheme not technically feasible and that it further involves the construction of an anicut in Mysore limits. The C.E.(I) does not appear to favour its re-examination.

(3) Ganda Commanahalli Project No informatio on this project is available

(4) Undahalli Project ~~No information on this scheme~~ The Scheme was abandoned in 1933 on engineerin and financial grounds. From Mr. Dowley's report at p.19 of the scheme does not appear to look promis for a re-examination.

(5) Krishna Redditank No information on thi scheme is available but a report from the C.E.(I) has been called for seperately on a petition receiv

also no information is available

(7) Kanchamalanahalli tank. The scheme was abandoned in 1913 as the anticipated return was very poor and the soil bad and as it was also found insuitable even as a famine relief work.

(8) Hattibellegal tank There is no information on this scheme

3. As information on certain schemes is not available and as it is desirable to know the present views of the C.E.(I) on the abandoned schemes; an extract of Mr. R.G. Rao's letter may perhaps be communicated to the C.E.(I) for his remarks. A draft endorsement is submitted for approval.

4. After issue the file may be sent to Revenue and Home in regard to para 3 of the letter at p.2 c.f.

Rev. Deptt.

TO U.S

The file has been sent to this Deptt reference to para 4 above An extract of para 3 on P 1 c.f may be forwarded to the Collr of Bellary for a report through the Govt. A draft Endt. is submitted for approval

PM 29.3.47

1.4.47

Home Department.

The P.W.D. file containing the original of the above extracts was received from the Rev. Dept. separately. In that file this dept. noted that it is not clear what the correspondence referred to para 3 of the letter at p.1 c.f. and that probably the matter is under consideration of the I.G. of Police and the I.G. will come up to Govt. in due course if necessary. No action is necessary in this file. This may be returned to the Rev. Dept. u.o.

1870 H/47-

1.4.47

2/4/47

2-4-47

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
- 9 APR 1947
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
2 APR 1947
HOME DEPARTMENT

INDIA POSTAL ASSISTANT SECRETARY
APR 1947
HOME DEPARTMENT

Rev. Deptt.

To U.S

Please see the notes o ~~Hon~~ Home Deptt on f 2 ante. The draft endt may now issue

Pm
10.4.47

15.4.47

To the Collector of Bellary, Endt. No. 1870 H/47-1, Revenue, dated 16-4-1947.
From the Board of Revenue, Refce. No. 3585/49-1(F.P dated 23-9-1949.

To A.S.

Please see the notes from p. 2 ante, the endorsement on p. 2 C.F. and the Board's report and its enclosures on pp. 3-8 C.F.

2. The proposal in this file is for the execution of repairs to Kolagallu tank in Bellary taluk, Bellary district at an estimated cost of Rs.25,000 for works or Rs.32,530 including direct and indirect charges. The report accompanying the estimate on pp. 5-7 C.F. and the Chief Engineer (P.W.D.)'s letter on p. 4 C.F. explain the propose in detail and may be perused along with the sketch at (X). The scheme, if executed, is expected to provide irrigation to about 100 acres to begin with, with possibilities of increasing the ayacut later on, if greater supplies are available.

3. ~~The C.E. (P.W.D.) states that~~ The Collector of Bellary recommends the scheme for sanction in view of its Grow More Food value. The C.E. also recommends the sanction of the estimate ~~administratively~~ as the tank lies in a famine-stricken area. The Board of Revenue (Food Production) stat that the tank is to serve a famine stricken area included in Class A for which financial return is no criterion, and that it is a anicut work which must have served good purposes when it

id ~~therefore~~ that the scheme ~~service~~ may therefor

be sanctioned. The Board also states that the Collector is being asked to scrutinise the statement of financial forecast on pp. 7-8 C.F. which has been prepared by the C.E. (P.W.D.) and to offer his remarks thereon and that a further report will be submitted to Government soon after the Collector's report is received but that the sanction of the scheme need not be held up pending receipt of the Collector's report.

4. In the circumstances stated, the Board's recommendation to sanction the scheme may be supported in this Department. It will be observed from '5' on p. 4 C.F. that the scheme will be executed by the P.W.D. It will also be observed from paras. 6 and 7 of the C.E.'s letter on p. 4 C.F. that the expenditure on the scheme will be debited to the P.W. budget and that the tank will be transferred to the control of the Revenue Department only after its restoration. Orders sanctioning the scheme have, therefore, to be issued in P.W.D. The file may accordingly be transferred to the P.W.D. for further action.

A
12.X.49

12.X.49

DS
PWD

S/M
14/10/49

DS
14.10.49

PWD

Rev. No. 1820 dt. 17.10.49.

17/10/49

To A.S.

Please see the notes of Revenue from pp. 3-4 ante.

2. With reference to the last portion of the note of Revenue on p.4 above, it is submitted that the tank in question is a minor irrigation tank and will be in charge of Revenue Department after it is restored. Estimates in respect of minor irrigation works in charge of Revenue are usually prepared by the P.W.D. and even the works are executed by them in case, where the Minor irrigation staff are not able to do it, but sanction for the work has to be accorded by the appropriate authority, i.e. the Revenue Department. The expenditure on the proposed restoration is not to be debited to

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
17 OCT 1949
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

B - para 6 on p4 ante and also details at 'A' on p3 ante

the P.W.D. budget as stated by Revenue. Expenditure relating Minor irrigation works are also debited to the head "18. Other Rev. Expenditure etc." The words "Major works" at the end of the head of account does not refer to major irrigation works as against Minor irrigation works. The reference in it is intended to convey that it is a work costing more than Rs. 10,000/-.

From the above, it will be seen that the fact that P.W.D. is executing the work or that the expenditure is debitable to "18. Other Rev. Expenditure" does not show that this relates to this department. Since the tank in question is a minor irrigation work, this seems to be a matter for Revenue Department. This may therefore be returned to that department.

P 11.49

1/11/49

1.11.49

1.11.49

Rw.

Draft prepared which Rw. drafted with.

1.11.49

WD No. 4406-S/49

2.11.49

ADDITIONAL ASST. SECRETARY TO G. GENERAL

3 - 11 - 49

6476 H

Revenue Department

To A.S.

Please see the notes from p. 3 ante and the CE's endorsement on p 4 of which has since been received.

2. With refce. to the portion marked B in the P.W.D. note on p. 4 ante, it is submitted that the tank in question is not a minor irrigation tank but only a cattle pond. The proposal is to keep the tank under the control of the Revenue Department as a minor irrigation source only after its restoration and not before.

Rmpy

mpy

3. The contention of P.W.D. at C on pp 4-5- above is also not correct. It is proposed to debit the expenditure to '18 a - Major Works' which is a P.W.D. budget head and not a Minor Irrigation budget head - please see pp 19-22 of the Detailed Irrigation Budget for 1949-50 put up below. The corresponding M.I. budget

mpy

M

head is '18. g. works' to which the expenditure is not proposed to be debited in the present case. As the expenditure is debitable to the P.W.D. budget, P.W.D. will have to deal with the case especially, as they have to call for from the C.E. (P.W.D), proposals for supplementary appropriation of funds ~~as~~ since the scheme ~~is~~ is on a new service and since the CE(PWD) is the controlling authority for the head please see para 1760 of the Budget Manual. DK 6/11/48

In a similar case relating to the construction of a flood bank over the Malathar river in Villupuram Taluk, South Arcot district, the connected file was transferred to P.W.D. with Rev. u.o. 2821 H/47-8 dated 31.5.48 for the issue of orders and orders were accordingly also issued in that dept. in G.O. Ms.No. 2886 P.W.D. dated 14.7.48. In that case, the proposal was to have the work constructed by the P.W.D., ~~but not~~ and to debit the cost to the P.W. budget and also to transfer the work to the Rev. Dept. after construction as in the present case. [At X on p. 5 ante, the A.D.S., P.W.D., apparently refers to the orders in G.O.Ms.No. 1182 Rev. dated 31.5.48 in which the revised estimate for Rs.40,072/- for the restoration of the Kothakalva Channel in the Anantapur district was approved. The expenditure in that case was, however, debited to ~~the~~ a Minor Irrigation budget head. ~~]~~ and etc. not to a Major Irrigation budget head]

4. In the circumstances stated in paras 2 and 3 above, the file has ~~appropriately~~ to be d alt with appropriately in P.W.D. The file may accordingly be retransferred to P.W.D. through Fin. who will kindly confirm 'M' on ~~p. 6 ante~~ p/s-balance.

SM. 22/11/48 BY 22/11/48

The item in qn is now a pond and the proposal is to form it into a M. I. tank after executing certain improvements. ~~As per the work has been mentioned~~ As the work is a formation of a new tank, Fin

DS Fin pl. see

A

may execute the work and this deptt may suffer that the work maybe taken up under the Tank Improvement scheme and the expenditure debited to the appropriate head under the budget for that head.

The BD has separately proposed that this item maybe placed before the meeting of the Cabinet Committee to be held on 7.12.49. In that case notes have to be circulated before 1.12.49. In view of the short time at our disposal the work may go before the meeting to be held after 7.12.49.

FWD to Fin may see for remarks

28/11/49 DS

6/12/49

PWD

Fin

(Sri Gopal Das noted on pp 4-5 ante)

The note of Rev on pp 5-7 above may be perused

The proposal relates to the restoration of the Kollagallu tank, a minor irrigation tank in Bellary Dt. Rev propose to take up the work under the Tank Improvement scheme and debit the expenditure to the appropriate head. This dept may agree.

The file may be passed on to Fin.

8/12/49

9.12.49

W. wo No. 52028/49-1
12.12.49

Fin (Ex. Exp.)

The proposal is to carry out repairs and improvements to the Kollagallu tank in the Bellary taluk of the Bellary dt at an estimated cost of Rs 25,000 for works or Rs 32,530 including direct and indirect charges. The scheme provides for irrigation of about 100 acres of land to begin with, there being possibilities of extending the irrigated area in if greater supplies are available. The gross return from the scheme on the basis of a water rate of Rs 5/- per acre works out to about 1.5% and the net return works out to about 1.2%

2 The Govt of Mad and the CE (I) have recommended the execution of the scheme as the tank is to serve a famine stricken area. Govt propose to sanction the work under the general Tank Improvement scheme after approval by the Cabinet Committee for Famine Protection schemes. Pl. support the proposal. The proposal may be agreed to in Finance

3 The Govt of India have approved the Tank Improvement scheme in principle and their financial sanction to the scheme is awaited. If they decide to grant subsidy for the scheme on the same basis as for Intensive Cultivation (Govt irrigation) schemes the scheme for the improvt of the tank in question will be eligible for a subsidy of Rs 11,265. The net cost of the scheme to Govt will then be Rs 21,265 and the net return on this will work out to about 1.

4 As the proposal involves "new service", supplementary grant will have to be obtained for the scheme in the current year.

12/12/1912 Mad

20/12/1912 D/S.

ADDITIONAL ASST. RECORD
2
A 7692 SH

Rev

RevenueDepartment

To A.S.

Please see the notes from p. 3 ante.

2. Y on p. 8 ante :- The proposal is to take up the Scheme under the Tank Improvement Scheme. A subsidy of Rs.5 crores has already been claimed from the Govt. of India for the entire Tank Improvement Scheme and their reply is awaited. As observed by Finance in a P.W.D. file, the question of claiming a subsidy from the Govt. of India for individual schemes like the one dealt with in this file, will arise only after the Govt. of India's reply is received. No separate action is called for at present on this point in this file.

3. With reference to X on p. 8 ante, a draft Note for the Cabinet committee is submitted below for approval. It may be sent to Food and Agri. for acceptance. Food and Agriculture are already being requested separately to include the subject in the agenda for the meeting of the Cabinet Committee to be held on 4.1.50.

3/12/49

28/12

28/12/49

29/12/49.

DS

SECTIONAL ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
R 60 H
23 JAN 1950
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Food & Agr

Rev. Sec. 1878 H/49-19
29/12/49

TO A.S.

Food & Agri (L) 2491

The file has been sent to this Deptt. with reference to para 3 above. The meeting of the Cabinet Committee for Food Production scheduled to be held on 4-1-50, has been postponed to a later date. A copy of the Memo. has already been sent to Rev. Deptt. with this information the file may be returned to that Deptt. This will be included in the agenda for the next meeting whenever it is held.

THIRD ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
30 DEC 1949
65665
FOOD AND AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

Copy received

30.12.

30/12/49

30.12.49

Rao

30/12/49

Rao

F 2 Agri Secy. 65665/49-1

JO AS

Please see the notes on p 9 ante.

2. In the circumstances stated by Food and Agri the draft note for the cabinet committee below may issue after a communication regarding ~~is received from Food and Agri~~ the date of the next meeting of the committee is received from Food and Agri. This file may lie over till then

This file may be kept with yours.
9.1.50

9.1.50

This E

9/1/50.

4-1-50.

JO AS

Please see the notes above.

Intimation has since been received from Food and Agri that the next meeting of the cabinet committee has been fixed for 16-1-50. ~~Food~~ The draft note for the cabinet committee below may now issue. (Food and Agri have already agreed to include the subject in the agenda for the next meeting of the committee please see A on p 9 ante)

× Food, Agri. may note the subject separately through such in corre

10.1.50

10/1/50

10/1/50

(Issued as Note for the cabinet committee) – (From the Food and Agri dept. proceedings of the cabinet & proceedings (Rt) No. 1 & 50 (F.P.)

Please see the notes on p. 10 ante, the note for the Cabinet Committee on p. 10-c f. and the extracts of the proceedings of the meeting of the Cabinet Committee on p. 11

2. The Cabinet Committee has approved the proposals in the note on p. 10-c.f. A draft order sanctioning the scheme is submitted below for approval. P.W.D. and Fin. may see before issue.

3. In its Reference on p. 12 c.f. which has since been received, the Board of Rev.(M.P.) has submitted its further report promised in para 3 of its refce. on p. 3 c.f. No action is necessary on the Bd. further report.

4. With refce. to Z on p. 8 ante it is enough if the sanction of the Legislature is obtained for a token supplementary grant of Rs.100, as the scheme is included under the Tank Improvement Scheme for which a provision has already been made in the budget. A note for the supplementary grant will be prepared after the issue of the draft order.

5. The file may be sent to P.W.D. and Fin! with ref. para 2 above.

SM 24/1/50

24-1-50

24.1.50

25/1/50

PWD

Finance.

P.W.D.(T)

Rev Dn NO 1870 H/47-20

To A.S.

(This dept last noted on p. 7 ante)

The notes of Rev above and the draft order below may be perused and the file passed on

DEPUTY SECRETARY 7/2 9 FEB 1950 FINANCE DEPARTMENT

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 31 JAN 1950 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Fin (Exp.C)

(Previous finance note on p 8 above)

Please see the decision of the Cabinet Committee recorded on p 11 C.f. and the notes on p 11 above.

2 The draft order below may be accepted.

As regards the remarks of the Rev Deptt. in para 2 their note on p 9 above, it may be stated that the Dt. have since proposed to call for particulars - all land mgmt. schemes to be executed in the Dt. year now a views to claiming subsidy from the Govt. of India and this Deptt. have no objection to the proposal.

A
6/2/50

Rev. Deptt.

Fin(Exp.C) No. 7129
6250

A.S

11 Please see the notes from p. ante. The draft order below may now issue. After issue, necessary action will be taken with reference to para 4 of this Deptt's notes on p-11 ante.

8/2/50

E The file on the Subject referred to at 'X' above, has been sent to P.W.D. and Finance with Rev. u.o. 48687 dtd Aug-B, d 2-2-50 with a draft Memo.]

BG
9-2-50

9/2/50

Issued as G.O. Ms No 367 Rev dt 9.2.50

TO A.S.

from p 11

Please see the notes above the G.O. at pp 13-14 cf. has been issued. With reference to para 4 of this Deptt's notes on p-11 ante, a draft note for a Supplementary grant for a token Sum of Rs 100/- is subd. below for

approval. It may be sent to Finance for acceptance.

M
11.2.50

13/2/50

13/2/50 Fin (Bg) Dy

Cc No 6811H/49 - 21
13/2/50

The attention of Revenue may be invited to Fin. No. note no. 3670/50 Bg-1 dated 17.1.50 and they may be requested to send consolidated proposals for additional appropriation, reappropriation etc. of this depts. on or before 20th February 1950.

14/2/50

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
10234

[Returned by Fin Dept
for the another file
CF No 6811H/49]

Rev Dept

To AJ

Please see the notes from the middle of p12 ante. The proposal in the note on pp15-16 has been included in the consolidated note prepared for the purpose on file C 6811 H/49 which has already been received. No further action is therefore called for on this point in this file.

Proposal being included in the consolidated supplementary estimates

2. With reference to the portion marked X on p12 ante, it is submitted that the file referred to therein has since been returned from depts. The sanctioned financial sanction of the Govt of India to the Tank Improvement Scheme for 1949-50 has also since been received. In the connected file it is proposed to ask the Bd of Rev (& P) to calculate subsidies

AJ 14/2/50

works (in respect of non-remunerative works) ~~of schemes~~ taken up under the Tank Improvement Scheme during 19.49-50, ~~involving a non-remunerative character~~ £ for being debited to the Procurement Bonus as desired by the Govt of India. No further action is therefore called for ~~in~~ in this file ~~on~~ this point also.

3. ~~No further action is called for in the file~~. The papers may now be closed as G.O. M/s. No. 367 Rev. dated 5-2-1955

17/3/50

17/3/50

18-3-50

C.3.1894 H./50)

Revenue Dept

Irrigation – Tank Improvement Scheme – Repairs and Improvements to tanks – Expenditure – Head of Account

Ref: From the Accountant General Letter No. WFP. No. 14 dated. 16.5

To A.S.

Please see the A.G's letter and its enclosure in the CF.

2. The A.G's letter on ff 3-4 CF has been received in the Food and Agriculture Dept and is being dealt with in that Dept.

accepted from F&A

3. In this connection, it is submitted that with reference to an enquiry made by the Board of Revenue (F.P.) the question of debiting the expenditure on works taken up under the Tank Improvement Scheme during 1949-50 and 1950-51 has already been examined separately in this Dept. In accordance with the suggestion of Finance, a draft order has been put up in the connected file. The draft order has been sent to the A.G. for acceptance or remarks, if any (before issue) by means of a memo. After the issue of the memo, the file has been finally transferred to Food and Agri (F.P.) for necessary further action. The A.G's letter in the CF, may also, therefore, be transferred to Food and Agri (F.P.) in continuation

C 7111 5 11/49 since transferred to F&A (F.P.)

× C 7111 5 11/49 transferred to F&A (F.P.)

through Food and Agri. with reference to para 2 on p. 1 ante

27-5-50

~~D.S.~~ W
27.5.50

29/5/50
By
27.5.50

F+A (F 1)

Food & Agri

FFA (F.P).

Rev No. 31896 H/50



F+A (1)

~~Minute~~

To A.S.

The notes of Rev Dept. from p 1 ante may be perused.

The A.G.'s letter referred to in para 2 on p 1 ante has been received in this Dept. and is being dealt with in C.No. 16762/50. When the file was sent to Rev / Fin., the Fin. Dept. wanted this Dept. to put up originals of some of the put up papers referred to in this Dept note. The file has therefore been submitted to A.S. on 25/5/50 with originals of the put up papers available in this Dept.



I have passed to F.G.
29/5/50
II

In this connection it may be noted that the file referred to above has also to be transferred as it relates to Food Production with these remarks the case may be passed on to F+A (F.P)

29/5/50 28/5/50

29/5/50 F+A (F.P)

F+A. U.O.No. 3496
29.5.

Rs.

F+A(F.P).

Please see the A.G's letter and its enclosure on the C.F. to G.O. ms. No. 367 Rev. dated 9/2/50 of the Govt. have ordered that the expenditure on the scheme for repair and improvements to the tank in Kolagallu Vge in Bellary Dk. should be debited to "18 Irrn - O.R.E financed from Ordinary Revenues." The expenditure was ordered to be classified as above as the Budget provision for 1949-50 for all Jaw Improvement works was made under that head. The A.G now reports that no expenditure on the scheme was incurred during '49-50. The budget provision for P.D. works during 1950-51 has been made "68 - Irrigation - Construction of works" and the Auditor General has since agreed to the debiting of expenditure on all P.D. work to the Capital head of account. In the circs. an amendment to para 2 of G.O. ms. No. 367 Rev. 9-2-50 may be issued. The Govt. may direct that the expenditure on the scheme during the current year viz 50-51 should be met by reappropriation from the lumpsum provision of Rs 10 - lakh placed at the disposal of the C&C(1) for expenditure on P.D Schemes and the expenditure debited to "68 Construction of Irrigation etc works unproductive." Finance may see for remarks.

Hol-4cf

Hfo 13-14cf (M)

≠ Hon'ble Mr (M)

R on Hold 31.7.50

Hfo not published.

Hfo 14cf (M)

O No. 14767-50 6/14-11-50

Issued 13.11.50 by

(4/11/50

By S (E 14cf)

Fin (Bk)

Notes from Ws 1-3 above may be perused. The dept may agree to the proposal at A on p 3 above. The CE may send the proposals for the reappropriation of funds along with the proposals for final modification of funds in Jan 51. The case may be returned to Fin A (FP)

15/11/50
15.11.50

15/11/50

AAO
16.11.50 for A (FP)

Fin (Bk) 15.11.50

BOARD OF REVENUE
34338
17 NOV 1950
FOOD PRODUCTION

Fin (FP) II

To AS

Notes from 1 to 3 ante may be perused. Financial have agreed to the suggestions at A on p 3 ante. Draft put up below is for approval. The above has also been incorporated in the draft.

27.11.50
27/11/50

28/11/50

DS(a.c.)
6.12.50

To AS

The papers may now be lodged and added to the G.C. No. 367/50 Rev d. 9.2.50.

7.12.50
7/12/50